The rotational invariants constructed by the products of three spherical harmonic polynomials

Zhong-Qi Ma*

Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

Zong-Chao Yan[†]

Department of Physics, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada E3B 5A3 (Dated: March 1, 2013)

The rotational invariants constructed by the products of three spherical harmonic polynomials are expressed generally as homogeneous polynomials with respect to the three coordinate vectors, where the coefficients are calculated explicitly in this paper.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Gp, 03.65.Fd, 02.20.Qs

I. INTRODUCTION

Weyl (see p.53 of [1]) established a theorem on the important structure for rotational invariants: Every even invariant depending on n vectors $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n$ in the three-dimensional space \mathcal{R}_3 is expressible in terms of the n^2 scalar products $\mathbf{r}_a \cdot \mathbf{r}_b$. Every odd invariant is a sum of terms

$$[(\mathbf{r}_a \times \mathbf{r}_b) \cdot \mathbf{r}_c] I(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n), \tag{1}$$

where \mathbf{r}_a , \mathbf{r}_b , \mathbf{r}_c are selected from $\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$, and $I(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n)$ is an even invariant. Due to the property of the rotational group SO(3), the expression for the invariant $I(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n)$ is not unique except for $n \leq 3$ because it depends upon the coupled orders of n vectors \mathbf{r}_a . In their famous Encyclopedia of Mathematics on Angular Momentum in Quantum Physics [2], Biedenharn and Louck studied the most important case n = 3 of the general theorem in some detail (§6.17 of [2]), and defined the even invariant (see (6.153) of [2]) as

$$I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{3}) = \sqrt{\frac{(4\pi)^{3}}{(2j+1)(2k+1)(2\ell+1)}}$$

$$\times \sum_{\mu,\nu,\rho} \begin{pmatrix} j & k & \ell \\ \mu & \nu & \rho \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Y}_{\mu}^{j}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}^{k}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) \mathcal{Y}_{\rho}^{\ell}(\mathbf{r}_{3})$$

$$= \sum_{(\alpha)} A_{(\alpha)} \prod_{a \leq b} (\mathbf{r}_{a} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{b})^{\alpha_{ab}}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} j & k & \ell \\ \mu & \nu & \rho \end{pmatrix}$ is the Wigner 3-j symbol, ρ has to be equal to $-\mu - \nu$ owing to the property of the 3-j symbol, $\mathcal{Y}_{\mu}^{j}(\mathbf{r})$

denotes the spherical harmonic polynomial, and $\sum \alpha_{ab} = (j + k + \ell)/2$. The odd invariant $I_{j,k,\ell}$ is proportional to an even invariant multiplied by a factor $(\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{r}_2) \cdot \mathbf{r}_3$. However, Biedenharn and Louck pointed out in their book (p.308 of [2]) that: "Unfortunately, the expression for the general coefficients (6.157) has not been given in the literature, and one has had to work out these invariant polynomials from the definition, Eq. (6.153)", where the coefficients (6.157) mean $A_{(\alpha)}$.

As a matter of fact, there are only (3n-3) independent rotational invariants constructed from n coordinate vectors \mathbf{r}_a . The n(n+1)/2 different scalar products $\mathbf{r}_a \cdot \mathbf{r}_b$ are obviously too many to be independent for $n \geq 4$. The independent and complete set of rotational invariants constructed from n coordinate vectors \mathbf{r}_a is [3]:

$$\mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_a, \qquad \mathbf{r}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_b, \qquad (\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{r}_2) \cdot \mathbf{r}_c,$$
 (3)

^{*} Electronic address: mazq@ihep.ac.cn

[†] Electronic address: zyan@unb.ca

where $1 \le a \le n$, $2 \le b \le n$, and $3 \le c \le n$. When n = 3, the set (3) becomes

$$\eta_1 = \mathbf{r}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_3, \quad \eta_2 = \mathbf{r}_3 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1, \quad \eta_3 = \mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2,$$

$$\xi_1 = \mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1, \quad \xi_2 = \mathbf{r}_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2, \quad \zeta = (\mathbf{r}_1 \times \mathbf{r}_2) \cdot \mathbf{r}_3,$$
(4)

where ζ has odd parity and the remaining have even parity. Due to the identity

$$\zeta^2 = \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - \xi_1 \eta_1^2 - \xi_2 \eta_2^2 - \xi_3 \eta_3^2 + 2\eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3, \tag{5}$$

with $\xi_3 = \mathbf{r}_3 \cdot \mathbf{r}_3$, the invariant ζ may be replaced by ξ_3 in (2) for the even invariant $I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3)$.

The rotational invariant $I_{j,k,\ell}$ has widespread applications in many branches of physics, such as in atomic physics [4, 5], in nuclear reaction [2], in condensed matter physics [6], in cosmology [7], and in astronomy and astrophysics [8–10]. Thus, it is desirable to find an explicit expression for $I_{j,k,\ell}$ in terms of the rotationally invariant variables η_i and ξ_i .

At first sight, the calculation for $I_{j,k,\ell}$ may be simplified by choosing a special rotation because the spherical harmonic polynomial $\mathcal{Y}^j_{\mu}(\mathbf{r})$ may become much simpler when \mathbf{r} points to some special direction, say along the z-axis. Making a rotation such that \mathbf{r}_1 points to the z-axis and \mathbf{r}_2 is in the xz plane with non-negative x-component, one is able to express the invariant $I_{j,k,\ell}$ in terms of the product of special functions (see Appendix). With this idea, Harris [11] calculated the even invariants $I_{j,k,\ell}$. His expression for the even $I_{j,k,\ell}$ is written in terms of the angles among the three vectors \mathbf{r}_1 , \mathbf{r}_2 and \mathbf{r}_3 , but not in terms of the scalar products $\mathbf{r}_a \cdot \mathbf{r}_b$ directly. Furthermore, his result contains coefficients C_j which are not given explicitly (see (20) in [11]). Finally, Harris did not calculate the case of the odd invariants $I_{j,k,\ell}$. It should be noted that the Harris approach of using spherical variables does not provide the coefficients of the invariant polynomials explicitly so that his method does not improve the way of working out these invariant polynomials from the definition, as pointed out by Biedenharn and Louck (p.308 of [2]).

The purpose of this paper is to present an independent calculation of the coefficients $A_{(\alpha)}$ for both even and odd invariants $I_{j,k,\ell}$ using group theoretical method. The general properties of these invariants are listed in Sec. II. The coefficients $A_{(\alpha)}$ for even and odd invariants are calculated in Sec. III and Sec. IV, respectively. The conclusions are given in Sec. V. In Appendix the invariants are expressed in terms of the angles and lengths among three coordinate vectors.

II. GENERAL PROPERTIES OF THE INVARIANTS

For any given three non-negative integers j, k, and ℓ , satisfying the "triangle rule":

$$|j - k| \le \ell \le j + k,\tag{6}$$

the rotational invariant $I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3)$ constructed from the products of three spherical harmonic polynomials is defined in (2). The invariant $I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3)$ has the following properties.

- a) $I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of orders j,k, and ℓ with respect to the coordinate vectors $\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2$, and \mathbf{r}_3 , respectively.
 - b) The parity of $I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3)$ is $(-1)^{j+k+\ell}$.
 - c) Due to the symmetry of the Wigner 3-j symbol

$$(-1)^{j+k+\ell} \begin{pmatrix} j & k & \ell \\ \mu & \nu & \rho \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k & j & \ell \\ \nu & \mu & \rho \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} j & \ell & k \\ \mu & \rho & \nu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j & k & \ell \\ -\mu & -\nu & -\rho \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$(-1)^{j+k+\ell}I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3) = I_{k,j,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_3)$$
$$= I_{j,\ell,k}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_3,\mathbf{r}_2). \tag{7}$$

Thus, we only need to consider $I_{j,k,\ell}$ with $j \leq k \leq \ell$. For the sake of convenience, we write an even invariant as $I_{j,k,j+k-2n}$ and an odd invariant as $I_{j+1,k+1,j+k-2n+1}$ where $0 \leq 2n \leq j \leq k$.

d) $I_{j,k,j+k-2n}$ is real and $I_{j+1,k+1,j+k-2n+1}$ is pure imaginary because

$$\begin{split} & \left[\sum_{\mu\nu\rho} \begin{pmatrix} j & k & \ell \\ \mu & \nu & \rho \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Y}_{\mu}^{j}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}^{k}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) \mathcal{Y}_{\rho}^{\ell}(\mathbf{r}_{3}) \right]^{*} \\ & = \sum_{\mu\nu\rho} \begin{pmatrix} j & k & \ell \\ \mu & \nu & \rho \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{Y}_{-\mu}^{j}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \mathcal{Y}_{-\nu}^{k}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) \mathcal{Y}_{-\rho}^{\ell}(\mathbf{r}_{3}). \end{split}$$

e) $I_{i,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3)$ satisfies the three Laplace's equations with respect to \mathbf{r}_1 , \mathbf{r}_2 , and \mathbf{r}_3 , respectively,

$$\Delta_1 I_{j,k,\ell} = \Delta_2 I_{j,k,\ell} = \Delta_3 I_{j,k,\ell} = 0. \tag{8}$$

f) From group theory on SO(3) [2], the decomposition of the direct product of three irreducible representations of SO(3), $D^j(R) \times D^k(R) \times D^\ell(R)$, where j, k, and ℓ satisfy the triangle rule (6), contains one and only one identity representation $D^0(R)$. Thus, a homogeneous polynomial of orders j, k, and ℓ with respect to the coordinate vectors \mathbf{r}_1 , \mathbf{r}_2 , and \mathbf{r}_3 , respectively, which satisfies the Laplace's equations (8), does exist and is unique up to a constant factor. From the explicit formula for the spherical harmonic polynomial [2]

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\pm 1}^{k}(\mathbf{r}) = \mp \sqrt{\frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{16\pi}} \left\{ (x \pm iy)z^{k-1} + \dots \right\},\,$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{0}^{k}(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\frac{(2k+1)}{4\pi}} \left\{ z^{k} + \dots \right\},\,$$
(9)

where x, y, and z are the three components of \mathbf{r} . Among all spherical harmonic polynomials $\mathcal{Y}_{\nu}^{k}(\mathbf{r})$ with k given, the term z^{k} appears only in $\mathcal{Y}_{0}^{k}(\mathbf{r})$ and the terms xz^{k-1} and yz^{k-1} appear only in $\mathcal{Y}_{\pm 1}^{k}(\mathbf{r})$. Therefore, $z_{1}^{j}z_{2}^{k}z_{3}^{j+k-2n}$ is contained only once in the homogeneous polynomial $I_{j,k,j+k-2n}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{3})$, at $\mathcal{Y}_{0}^{j}(\mathbf{r}_{1})\mathcal{Y}_{0}^{k}(\mathbf{r}_{2})\mathcal{Y}_{0}^{j+k-2n}(\mathbf{r}_{3})$, with the coefficient

$$\begin{pmatrix}
j & k & j+k-2n \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$
(10)

Thus the even invariant defined in (2) can be rewritten more explicitly in the form

$$I_{j,k,j+k-2n}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{3}) = \begin{pmatrix} j & k & j+k-2n \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times P_{j,k,j+k-2n}^{-1} \sum_{a=0}^{[j/2]} \sum_{b=0}^{j-2a} \sum_{c=\max\{0,n-a-b\}}^{[(k-b)/2]} A_{abc}$$

$$\times \xi_{1}^{a} \xi_{2}^{c} \xi_{3}^{a+b+c-n} \eta_{1}^{k-2c-b} \eta_{2}^{j-2a-b} \eta_{3}^{b},$$

$$P_{j,k,j+k-2n} = \sum_{a} \sum_{b} \sum_{c} A_{abc},$$
(11)

where [m] denotes the largest integer equal to or less than the non-negative real number m. Similarly, $x_1y_2z_1^jz_2^kz_3^{j+k-2n}$ is contained only twice in the homogeneous polynomial $I_{j+1,k+1,j+k-2n+1}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3)$, at $\mathcal{Y}_{\pm 1}^{j+1}(\mathbf{r}_1)\mathcal{Y}_{\mp 1}^{k+1}(\mathbf{r}_2)\mathcal{Y}_0^{j+k-2n+1}(\mathbf{r}_3)$, with the coefficient

$$\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{(j+2)!(k+2)!}{j!k!}} \begin{pmatrix} j+1 & k+1 & j+k-2n+1\\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

Thus the odd invariant defined in (2) can be rewritten more explicitly in the form

$$I_{j+1,k+1,j+k-2n+1}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{3}) = \frac{i\zeta}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(j+2)!(k+2)!}{j!k!}}$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} j+1 & k+1 & j+k-2n+1\\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times Q_{j,k,j+k-2n}^{-1} \sum_{a=0}^{[j/2]} \sum_{b=0}^{j-2a} \sum_{c=\max\{0,n-a-b\}}^{[(k-b)/2]} B_{abc}$$

$$\times \xi_{1}^{a} \xi_{2}^{c} \xi_{3}^{a+b+c-n} \eta_{1}^{k-2c-b} \eta_{2}^{j-2a-b} \eta_{3}^{b},$$

$$Q_{j,k,j+k-2n} = \sum_{a} \sum_{b} \sum_{c} B_{abc}.$$
(13)

III. EVEN INVARIANTS

The coefficients A_{abc} in (11) are determined from the conditions (8), leading directly to the following recursive relations:

$$2a(2j-2a+1)A_{abc} + (j-2a-b+2)(j-2a-b+1)A_{(a-1)bc} + (b+2)(b+1)A_{(a-1)(b+2)(c-1)} + 2(b+1)(j-2a-b+1)A_{(a-1)(b+1)c} = 0,$$

$$2c(2k-2c+1)A_{abc} + (k-2c-b+2)(k-2c-b+1)A_{ab(c-1)} + (b+2)(b+1)A_{(a-1)(b+2)(c-1)} + 2(b+1)(k-2c-b+1)A_{a(b+1)(c-1)} = 0,$$

$$2(a+b+c-n)(2k+2j-2a-2b-2c-2n+1)A_{abc} + (k-2c-b+2)(k-2c-b+1)A_{ab(c-1)} + (j-2a-b+2)(j-2a-b+1)A_{(a-1)bc} + (j-2a-b+2)(j-2a-b+1)A_{a(b-1)c} = 0.$$
(15)

Due to the property f) in Sec. II, the solutions for A_{abc} exist uniquely up to a common numerical factor, which can be determined for convenience by

$$A_{00n} = \frac{(k-n)!(2j-1)!!}{(k-2n)!(2j-2n-1)!!} \times \prod_{m=1}^{\lambda} 2m(2j+2k-4n-2m+1),$$
(17)

$$\lambda = \left[(j+k)/2 \right] - n. \tag{18}$$

The constant λ is chosen to be larger enough so that the coefficients A_{abc} do not contain an unnecessary denominator. On the other hand, if λ is too large, it may make A_{abc} to be more complex due to the existence of a common factor. The choice of λ , however, does not effect the final results.

The coefficients A_{abc} can be calculated one by one by mathematical induction from the recursive relations (14-16), where the calculation order is critical. The key point is that at each step of calculation using one of (14-16), only one unknown coefficient is solved from three remaining known coefficients. We choose the calculation order as follows and list the calculation results.

First, we calculate $A_{0b(n-b)}$ from (15), and $A_{ab(n-a-b)}$ from (14) by mathematical induction:

$$A_{ab(n-a-b)} = G_{a,b} \frac{(j-n)!(k-n)!}{(j-2a-b)!(k-2n+2a+b)!}$$

$$\times \prod_{m=1}^{\lambda} 2m(2j+2k-4n-2m+1), \tag{19}$$

where $0 \le a \le n$, $0 \le b \le n - a$, and

$$G_{a,b} = \sum_{r=\max\{0,2a+b-n\}}^{a} \frac{(-1)^{a+b+r} n!}{2^{a-r} r! (a-r)! b!} \times \frac{(j-2a-b+r)! (k-2n+2a+b)!}{(n-2a-b+r)! (j-n)! (k-2n+2a+b-r)!} \times \frac{(2j-2a-1)!! (2k-2n+4a+2b-2r-1)!!}{(2j-2n-1)!! (2k-2n-1)!!}.$$
(20)

Evidently, $G_{a,b} = 0$ if a < 0, or b < 0, or a + b > n. The function $G_{a,b}$ will play an essential role for later calculations. Second, we calculate $A_{ab(n-a-b+c)}$ from (16) by mathematical induction:

$$A_{ab(n-a-b+c)} = \sum_{s=0}^{b} \sum_{r=\max\{s,c-a\}}^{c} G_{a-c+r,b-s}$$

$$\times \frac{(-1)^{c} 2^{s}(c!)(j-n)!(k-n)!}{(c-r)!(r-s)!s!(j-2a-b)!(k-2n+2a+b-2c)!}$$

$$\times \prod_{r=a-b-1}^{\lambda} 2m(2j+2k-4n-2m+1), \tag{21}$$

where $0 \le a \le n$, $0 \le b \le n - a$, and $0 \le c \le [(k+b)/2] + a - n$. For the case of c > a, terms with $c - a > r \ge s$, which may occur in the sum over r in (21), vanish because $G_{a,b} = 0$ if a < 0. It is for this reason that the lower bound of summation over r in (21) becomes $\max\{s, c - a\}$. Similar cases occur in the following formulas.

Third, we calculate $A_{a(n-a+b)c}$ from (16) by mathematical induction:

$$A_{a(n-a+b)c} = \sum_{s=\max\{0,b-a\}}^{\min\{b+c,n-a+b\}} \sum_{r=\max\{s,b+c-a\}}^{\min\{s+c,b+c\}}$$

$$G_{a-b-c+r,n-a+b-s} \frac{(-1)^{b+c}2^{s}(b+c)!}{(r-s)!s!(b+c-r)!}$$

$$\times \frac{(j-n)!(k-n)!}{(j-n-a-b)!(k-n+a-b-2c)!}$$

$$\times \prod_{k=1}^{\lambda} 2m(2j+2k-4n-2m+1),$$
(22)

where $0 \le a \le n$, $0 \le b \le j-n-a$, and $0 \le c \le [(k-n+a-b)/2]$. For the case of b+c>a, terms with $s \le r < b+c-a$, which may occur in the sum over r in (22), vanish because $G_{a,b}=0$ if a < 0. When s > b, terms with $b+c < r \le s+c$ vanish due to the existence of the factor (b+c-r)! at the denominator. For the case of b > a, terms with $0 \le s < b-a$, which may occur in the sum over s in (22), vanish because $G_{a,b}=0$ if b > n. Finally, for the case of c > n-a, terms with $n-a+b < s \le b+c$, which may occur in the sum over s in (22), vanish because $G_{a,b}=0$ if b < 0.

Finally, we calculate $A_{(n+a)bc}$ from (16) by mathematical induction:

$$A_{(n+a)bc} = \sum_{s=\max\{0,b-n\}}^{b} \sum_{r=\max\{s,b+c-n\}}^{s+c} G_{n-b-c+r,b-s} \frac{(-1)^{a+b+c}2^{s}(a+b+c)!}{(r-s)!s!(a+b+c-r)!} \times \frac{(j-n)!(k-n)!}{(j-2n-2a-b)!(k-2c-b)!} \times \prod_{m=a+b+c+1}^{\lambda} 2m(2j+2k-4n-2m+1),$$
(23)

where $0 \le a \le \lfloor j/2 \rfloor - n$, $0 \le b \le j - 2n - 2a$, and $0 \le c \le \lfloor (k-b)/2 \rfloor$. For the case of b+c > n, terms with $s \le r < b+c-n$, which may occur in the sum over r in (23), vanish because $G_{a,b} = 0$ if a < 0. For the case of b > n, terms with $0 \le s < b-n$, which may occur in the sum over s in (23), vanish because $G_{a,b} = 0$ if b > n.

In the following we list some special even invariants $I_{j,k,j+k-2n}$ for reference.

$$\begin{split} I_{0,0,0} &= 1, \qquad I_{0,1,1} = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} \eta_1, \\ I_{0,2,2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[3\eta_1^2 - \xi_2 \xi_3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{0,3,3} &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{7}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[5\eta_1^3 - 3\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{0,4,4} &= \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[35\eta_1^4 - 30\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1^2 + 3\xi_2^2 \xi_3^2 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{0,5,5} &= \frac{-1}{\sqrt{11}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[63\eta_1^5 - 70\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1^3 + 15\xi_2^2 \xi_3^2 \eta_1 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{0,6,6} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{13}} \left\{ \frac{1}{16} \left[231\eta_1^6 - 315\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1^4 + 105\xi_2^2 \xi_3^2 \eta_1 - 5\xi_2^3 \xi_3^3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{112} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{15}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[3\eta_1 \eta_2 - \xi_3 \eta_3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{123} &= -\sqrt{\frac{3}{35}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[5\eta_1^2 \eta_2 - \xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_2 - 2\xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{134} &= \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{7}{7}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[35\eta_1^3 \eta_2 - 15\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_2 - 15\xi_3 \eta_1^2 \eta_3 + 3\xi_2 \xi_3^2 \eta_3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{145} &= -\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\frac{5}{11}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[63\eta_1^4 \eta_2 - 42\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1^2 \eta_2 + 3\xi_2^2 \xi_3^2 \eta_1 \eta_2 + 3\xi_2^2 \xi_3^2 \eta_2 - 28\xi_3 \eta_1^3 \eta_3 + 12\xi_2 \xi_3^2 \eta_1 \eta_3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{156} &= \sqrt{\frac{6}{143}} \left\{ \frac{1}{16} \left[231\eta_1^5 \eta_2 - 210\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1^3 \eta_2 + 35\xi_2^2 \xi_3^2 \eta_1 \eta_2 - 105\xi_3 \eta_1^4 \eta_3 + 70\xi_2 \xi_3^2 \eta_1^2 \eta_3 - 5\xi_2^2 \xi_3^3 \eta_3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{222} &= -\sqrt{\frac{2}{35}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[-3\xi_2 \eta_2^2 + 9\eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 - 3\xi_3 \eta_3^2 - 3\xi_1 \eta_1^2 + 2\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{233} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{105}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[35\eta_1^2 \eta_2 - 5\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_2^2 - 20\xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 + 2\xi_3^2 \eta_3^2 - 30\xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_2^2 \eta_3 - 3\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_2 \eta_3 - 30\xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_3^2 - 25\xi_1 \eta_1^3 + 21\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{235} &= -\sqrt{\frac{10}{35}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[63\eta_1^3 \eta_2 - 25\xi_1 \eta_1^3 + 21\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{244} &= \frac{-2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{5}{7}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[63\eta_1^3 \eta_2 - 21\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_2 - 42\xi_3 \eta_1^2 \eta_2 \eta_3 - 3\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_2 \eta_3 - 3\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_2 \eta_3 - 3\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 - 6\xi_2 \xi_3^2 \eta_2 \eta_3 - 6\xi_2 \xi_3^2 \eta_1 \eta_2 - 7\xi_1 \xi_3 \eta_1^3 + 3\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3^2 \eta_1 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{244} &= \frac{-2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{5}{7}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[63\eta_1^3 \eta_2 - 21\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_2 + 2\xi_2 \xi_3^2 \eta_2^2 + 147\eta_1^3 \eta_2 \eta_3 - 27\xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 - 63\xi_3 \eta_1^2 \eta_3 + 2\xi_2 \xi_3^2 \eta_3^2 + 49\xi_1 \eta_1^4 + 51\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 - 63\xi_2 \xi_3^2 \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3 + 28\xi_3^2 \eta_1^2 \eta_3 + 2\xi_2 \xi_3^2 \eta_3^2 - 49\xi_1$$

$$\begin{split} I_{334} &= -\sqrt{\frac{2}{77}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[-70\xi_2\eta_1\eta_2^3 + 175\eta_1^2\eta_2^2\eta_3 + 5\xi_2\xi_3\eta_2^2\eta_3 \right. \right. \\ &\left. - 100\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3^2 + 10\xi_3^2\eta_3^3 - 70\xi_1\eta_1^3\eta_2 \right. \\ &\left. + 60\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2 + 5\xi_1\xi_3\eta_1^2\eta_3 - 7\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{336} &= \frac{10}{\sqrt{3003}} \left\{ \frac{1}{16} \left[231\eta_1^3\eta_2^3 - 63\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2^3 - 189\xi_3\eta_1^2\eta_2^2\eta_3 \right. \\ &\left. + 21\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_2^2\eta_3 + 42\xi_3^2\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3^2 - 2\xi_3^3\eta_3^3 - 63\xi_1\xi_3\eta_1^3\eta_2 \right. \\ &\left. + 21\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_1\eta_2 + 21\xi_1\xi_2^2\eta_1^2\eta_3 - 3\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3^3\eta_3 \right] \right\}. \end{split}$$

IV. ODD INVARIANTS

Substituting (13) into the Laplace's equations (8), we obtain the recursive relations for the coefficients B_{abc}

$$2a(2j-2a+3)B_{abc} + (j-2a-b+2)(j-2a-b+1)B_{(a-1)bc} + (b+2)(b+1)B_{(a-1)(b+2)(c-1)} + 2(b+1)(j-2a-b+1)B_{(a-1)(b+1)c} = 0,$$

$$2c(2k-2c+3)B_{abc} + (k-2c-b+2)(k-2c-b+1)B_{ab(c-1)} + (b+2)(b+1)B_{(a-1)(b+2)(c-1)} + 2(b+1)(k-2c-b+1)B_{a(b+1)(c-1)} = 0,$$

$$2(a+b+c-n)(2k+2j-2a-2b-2c-2n+3)B_{abc} + (k-2c-b+2)(k-2c-b+1)B_{ab(c-1)} + (j-2a-b+2)(j-2a-b+1)B_{(a-1)bc} + (j-2a-b+1)(j-2a-b+1)B_{(a-1)bc} + 2(k-2c-b+1)(j-2a-b+1)B_{a(b-1)c} = 0.$$
(25)

The only difference between the two sets of equations (14-16) and (24-26) is that "(+1)" in the first term of each equation of the first set is replaced by "(+3)" in that of the second set. Thus, through the same procedure we have calculated the coefficients B_{abc} listed below, which are very similar to the coefficients A_{abc} .

$$B_{00n} = \frac{(k-n)!(2j+1)!!}{(k-2n)!(2j-2n+1)!!} \times \prod_{m=1}^{\lambda} 2m(2j+2k-4n-2m+3).$$
(27)

$$B_{ab(n-a-b)} = F_{a,b} \frac{(j-n)!(k-n)!}{(j-2a-b)!(k-2n+2a+b)!}$$

$$\times \prod_{m=1}^{\lambda} 2m(2j+2k-4n-2m+3), \tag{28}$$

where $0 \le a \le n$, $0 \le b \le n - a$, and

$$F_{a,b} = \sum_{r=\max\{0,2a+b-n\}}^{a} \frac{(-1)^{a+b+r}n!}{2^{a-r}r!(a-r)!b!} \times \frac{(j-2a-b+r)!(k-2n+2a+b)!}{(n-2a-b+r)!(j-n)!(k-2n+2a+b-r)!} \times \frac{(2j-2a+1)!!(2k-2n+4a+2b-2r+1)!!}{(2j-2n+1)!!(2k-2n+1)!!}.$$
(29)

Evidently, $F_{a,b} = 0$ if a < 0, or b < 0, or a + b > n.

$$B_{ab(n-a-b+c)} = \sum_{s=0}^{b} \sum_{r=\max\{s,c-a\}}^{c} F_{a-c+r,b-s}$$

$$\times \frac{(-1)^{c} 2^{s}(c!)(j-n)!(k-n)!}{(c-r)!(r-s)!s!(j-2a-b)!(k-2n+2a+b-2c)!}$$

$$\times \prod_{r=a+1}^{\lambda} 2m(2j+2k-4n-2m+3), \tag{30}$$

where $0 \le a \le n$, $0 \le b \le n - a$, and $0 \le c \le [(k+b)/2] + a - n$.

$$B_{a(n-a+b)c} = \sum_{s=\max\{0,b-a\}}^{\min\{b+c,n-a+b\}} \sum_{r=\max\{s,b+c-a\}}^{\min\{s+c,b+c\}} F_{a-b-c+r,n-a+b-s} \frac{(-1)^{b+c}2^{s}(b+c)!}{(r-s)!s!(b+c-r)!} \times \frac{(j-n)!(k-n)!}{(j-n-a-b)!(k-n+a-b-2c)!} \times \prod_{m=b+c+1}^{\lambda} 2m(2j+2k-4n-2m+3),$$
(31)

where $0 \le a \le n$, $0 \le b \le j - n - a$, and $0 \le c \le [(k - n + a - b)/2]$.

$$B_{(n+a)bc} = \sum_{s=\max\{0,b-n\}}^{b} \sum_{r=\max\{s,b+c-n\}}^{s+c} F_{n-b-c+r,b-s} \frac{(-1)^{a+b+c}2^{s}(a+b+c)!}{(r-s)!s!(a+b+c-r)!} \times \frac{(j-n)!(k-n)!}{(j-2n-2a-b)!(k-2c-b)!} \times \prod_{m=a+b+c+1}^{\lambda} 2m(2j+2k-4n-2m+3),$$
(32)

where $0 \le a \le [j/2] - n$, $0 \le b \le j - 2n - 2a$, and $0 \le c \le [(k-b)/2]$. In the following we list some special odd invariants $I_{j+1,k+1,j+k-2n+1}$ for reference.

$$\begin{split} I_{1,2,2} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\,\eta_1, \\ I_{1,3,3} &= \mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left[5\eta_1^2 - \xi_2\xi_3\right]\right\}, \\ I_{1,4,4} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta\frac{\sqrt{5}}{3}\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left[7\eta_1^3 - 3\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\right]\right\}, \\ I_{1,5,5} &= \mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{15}{22}}\left\{\frac{1}{8}\left[21\eta_1^4 - 14\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^2 + \xi_2^2\xi_3^2\right]\right\}, \\ I_{1,6,6} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{12}{26}}\left\{\frac{1}{8}\left[33\eta_1^5 - 30\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^3 + 5\xi_2^2\xi_3^2\eta_1\right]\right\}, \\ I_{1,7,7} &= \mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{14}{15}}\left\{\frac{1}{64}\left[429\eta_1^6 - 495\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^4 + 135\xi_2^2\xi_3^2\eta_1 - 5\xi_2^3\xi_3^3\right]\right\}, \\ I_{2,2,3} &= \mathrm{i}\zeta 3\sqrt{\frac{2}{35}}\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left[5\eta_1\eta_2 - \xi_3\eta_3\right]\right\}, \\ I_{2,3,4} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{7}{7}}\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left[7\eta_1^2\eta_2 - \xi_2\xi_3\eta_2 - 2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_3\right]\right\}, \\ I_{2,4,5} &= \mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{10}{11}}\left\{\frac{1}{8}\left[21\eta_1^3\eta_2 - 7\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2 - 7\xi_3\eta_1^2\eta_3 + \xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_3\right]\right\}, \\ I_{2,5,6} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta 3\sqrt{\frac{2}{35}}\left\{\frac{1}{8}\left[33\eta_1^4\eta_2 - 18\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^2\eta_2 + \xi_2^2\xi_3^2\eta_2 - 12\xi_3\eta_1^3\eta_3 + 4\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_1\eta_3\right]\right\}, \\ I_{2,6,7} &= 2\sqrt{\frac{21}{65}}\left\{\frac{1}{64}\left[429\eta_1^5\eta_2 - 330\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^3\eta_2 + 45\xi_2^2\xi_3^2\eta_1\eta_2 - 165\xi_3\eta_1^4\eta_3 + 90\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_1^2\eta_3 - 5\xi_2^2\xi_3^3\eta_3\right]\right\}, \\ I_{3,3,3} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{7}{7}}\left\{\frac{1}{12}\left[-5\xi_2\eta_2^2 + 25\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 - 5\xi_3\eta_3^2 - 5\xi_1\eta_1^2 + 2\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\right]\right\}, \\ I_{3,4,4} &= \mathrm{i}\zeta 3\sqrt{\frac{7}{17}}\left\{\frac{1}{24}\left[63\eta_1^2\eta_2^2 - 7\xi_2\xi_3\eta_2^2 - 28\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 + 2\xi_2^2\eta_3^2 - 7\xi_1\xi_3\eta_1^2 + \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3^2\right]\right\}, \\ I_{3,4,6} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta 3\sqrt{\frac{71}{143}}\left\{\frac{1}{8}\left[33\eta_1^3\eta_2^2 - 9\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2^2 - 18\xi_3\eta_1^2\eta_3 + 2\xi_2\xi_3\eta_2\eta_3 - 70\xi_3\eta_1\eta_3^2 - 49\xi_1\eta_1^3 + 31\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\right]\right\}, \\ I_{3,5,5} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{10}{143}}\left\{\frac{1}{24}\left[-45\xi_2\eta_1^2\eta_2^2 + 5\xi_2^2\xi_3\eta_2^2 + 135\eta_1^3\eta_2\eta_3 - 25\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 - 45\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 - 45\xi_2\xi_3\eta_2\eta_3 - 45\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_3 - 3\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3^2\right\}\right\}, \\ I_{3,5,5} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{10}{143}}\left\{\frac{1}{24}\left[-45\xi_2\eta_1^2\eta_2^2 + 5\xi_2^2\xi_3\eta_2^2 + 135\eta_1^3\eta_2\eta_3 - 25\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 - 45\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 - 45\xi_2\xi_3\eta_2^2 - 25\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^2 + 5\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_1^2 + 5\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^2 - 25\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 - 45\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^2 - 25\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 - 45\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^2 + 5\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^2 - 27\xi_1\eta_1^4 + 23\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^2 - 2\xi_1\xi_2^2\xi_3^2\right\}, \\ I_{3,5,5} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{10}{143}}\left\{\frac{1}{24}\left[-45\xi_2\eta_1^2\eta_2^2 + 5\xi_2^2\xi_3\eta_2^2 + 135\eta_1^3\eta_2\eta_3 - 25\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^2 + 2\xi_1$$

 $I_{1,1,1} = \frac{1\zeta}{\sqrt{c}},$

$$\begin{split} I_{3,5,7} &= \mathrm{i}\zeta\sqrt{\frac{210}{143}} \left\{ \frac{1}{64} \left[429\eta_1^4\eta_2^2 - 198\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1^2\eta_2^2 + 9\xi_2^2\xi_3^2\eta_2^2 \right. \\ &\quad - 264\xi_3\eta_1^3\eta_2\eta_3 + 72\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3 + 36\xi_3^2\eta_1^2\eta_3^2 - 4\xi_2\xi_3^3\eta_3^2 \\ &\quad - 33\xi_1\xi_3\eta_1^4 + 18\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_1^2 - \xi_1\xi_2^2\xi_3^3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{4,4,5} &= -\mathrm{i}\zeta\frac{15}{\sqrt{143}} \left\{ \frac{1}{72} \left[-126\xi_2\eta_1\eta_2^3 + 441\eta_1^2\eta_2^2\eta_3 - 7\xi_2\xi_3\eta_2^2\eta_3 \right. \\ &\quad - 196\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3^2 + 14\xi_3^2\eta_3^3 - 126\xi_1\eta_1^3\eta_2 \right. \\ &\quad + 84\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2 - 7\xi_1\xi_3\eta_1^2\eta_3 - 5\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_3 \right] \right\}, \\ I_{4,4,7} &= \mathrm{i}\zeta\frac{14}{3}\sqrt{\frac{10}{143}} \left\{ \frac{1}{64} \left[429\eta_1^3\eta_2^3 - 99\xi_2\xi_3\eta_1\eta_2^3 - 297\xi_3\eta_1^2\eta_2^2\eta_3 \right. \\ &\quad + 27\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_2^2\eta_3 + 54\xi_3^2\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3^2 - 2\xi_3^3\eta_3^3 - 99\xi_1\xi_3\eta_1^3\eta_2 \right. \\ &\quad + 27\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3^2\eta_1\eta_2 + 27\xi_1\xi_3^2\eta_1^2\eta_3 - 3\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3^3\eta_3 \right] \right\}. \end{split}$$

V. CONCLUSIONS

The rotational invariants $I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3)$ constructed by three spherical harmonic polynomials are the homogeneous polynomials of orders j, k, and ℓ with respect to the three coordinate vectors \mathbf{r}_1 , \mathbf{r}_2 , and \mathbf{r}_3 , respectively. We have rewritten the definitions for the invariants given by Biedenharn and Louck more explicitly, derived the recursive relations for the coefficients A_{abc} and B_{abc} using the Laplace's equations, defined two key functions $G_{a,b}$ and $F_{a,b}$ in (20) and (29), and calculated analytically the expressions for A_{abc} and B_{abc} by mathematical induction, as given in (21-23) and (30-32). Therefore, we have completely solved the problem raised by Biedenharn and Louck (p.308 of [2]). The present method can in principle be generalized to the rotational invariants constructed by four or more spherical harmonic polynomials although the definition for the invariants depends on the order of coupling.

Acknowledgments

ZQM would like to thank Professor Fu-Chun Zhang for his warm hospitality during his visit at the University of Hong Kong where part of this work was completed. This work was partly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No. 11174099 and No.11075014. ZCY was supported by NSERC of Canada.

^[1] H. Weyl, The Classical Groups: Their Invariants and Representations, (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J. 1946).

^[2] L. C. Biedenharn and J. D. Louck, Angular Momentum in Quantum Physics, Theory and Application, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Application, Ed. G.-C. Rota, (Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1981).

X.-Y. Gu, B. Duan and Z.-Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. A 64, 042108 (2001).

^[4] D. M. Fromm and R. N. Hill, Phys. Rev. A 36, 1013 (1987).

^[5] N. L. Manakov, A. V. Meremianin, and A. F. Starace, Phys. Rev. A 57, 3233 (1998).

^[6] O. Borisenko and V. Kushnir, Ukr. J. Phys. 51, N 1, 90 (2006).

^[7] N. Joshi, S. Jhingan, T. Souradeep, and A. Hajian, Phys. Rev. D 81, 083012 (2010).

^[8] G. Rocha, M. P. Hobson, S. Smith, P. Ferreira, and A. Challinor, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 357, 1 (2005).

^[9] P. Pápai and I. Szapudi, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 389, 292 (2008).

^[10] A. Raccanelli, L. Samushia, and W. J. Percival, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 409, 1525 (2010).

^[11] F. E. Harris, Integrals for exponentially correlated four-body systems of general angular symmetry, in *Fundamental World of Quantum Chemistry*, Vol. 3, p.115, Ed. E. J. Brandas and E. S. Kryachko, (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004).

^[12] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 7th Ed., Editors: A. Jeffrey and D. Zwillinger (Academic Press, 2007).

Appendix: Invariants expressed by spherical variables

Choosing a special rotation such that \mathbf{r}_1 is along the z axis and \mathbf{r}_2 is in the xz plane with x positive, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{Y}_{\mu}^{j}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) &= \mathcal{Y}_{\mu}^{j}(\xi_{1}^{1/2}, 0, 0) = \sqrt{\frac{(2j+1)\xi_{1}^{j}}{4\pi}} \delta_{\mu 0}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}^{k}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) &= \mathcal{Y}_{\nu}^{k}(\xi_{2}^{1/2}, \theta_{12}, 0) \\ &= (-1)^{(\nu+|\nu|)/2} \left[\frac{(2k+1)\xi_{2}^{k}(k-|\nu|)!(k+|\nu|)!}{4\pi 4^{|\nu|}(k!)^{2}} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\times (\sin\theta_{12})^{|\nu|} P_{k-|\nu|}^{|\nu|,|\nu|}(\cos\theta_{12}), \\ \mathcal{Y}_{-\nu}^{\ell}(\mathbf{r}_{3}) &= \mathcal{Y}_{-\nu}^{\ell}(\xi_{3}^{1/2}, \theta_{13}, \varphi) \\ &= (-1)^{(-\nu+|\nu|)/2} \left[\frac{(2\ell+1)\xi_{3}^{\ell}(\ell-|\nu|)!(\ell+|\nu|)!}{4\pi 4^{|\nu|}(\ell!)^{2}} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\times (\sin\theta_{13})^{|\nu|} P_{\ell-|\nu|}^{|\nu|,|\nu|}(\cos\theta_{13}) e^{-i\nu\varphi}, \end{split}$$

where $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is the Jacobi's polynomial (see 8.960 in [12])

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{m} \binom{n+\beta}{n-m} \times (x-1)^{n-m} (x+1)^m,$$

and the angles satisfy

$$\cos \theta_{ab} = \sum_{c=1}^{3} \epsilon_{abc} \eta_c \left(\xi_a \xi_b\right)^{-1/2},$$

$$\cos \varphi = \frac{\cos \theta_{23} - \cos \theta_{12} \cos \theta_{13}}{\sin \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{13}},$$

$$\zeta = (\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3)^{1/2} \sin \theta_{12} \sin \theta_{13} \sin \varphi.$$

Thus,

$$I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{3}) = \frac{\sqrt{\xi_{1}^{j}\xi_{2}^{k}\xi_{3}^{\ell}}}{k!\ell!} \sum_{\nu=-k}^{k} (-1)^{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} j & k & \ell \\ 0 & \nu & -\nu \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times \frac{\sqrt{(k-\nu)!(k+\nu)!(\ell-\nu)!(\ell+\nu)!}}{4^{|\nu|}} (\sin\theta_{12}\sin\theta_{13})^{|\nu|}$$

$$\times P_{k-|\nu|}^{|\nu|,|\nu|}(\cos\theta_{12})P_{\ell-|\nu|}^{|\nu|,|\nu|}(\cos\theta_{13})e^{-i\nu\varphi}.$$
(A.1)

From the identity

$$\cos(\nu\varphi) + i\sin(\nu\varphi) = e^{i\nu\varphi} = [\cos\varphi + i\sin\varphi]^{\nu}$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\nu} {\nu \choose r} (\cos\varphi)^{\nu-r} (i\sin\varphi)^{r},$$

one obtains for $\nu \geq 0$

$$\cos \nu \varphi = \sum_{r=0}^{[\nu/2]} \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{r+s} {\nu \choose 2r} {r \choose s} (\cos \varphi)^{\nu-2r+2s},$$

$$\sin \nu \varphi = \sin \varphi \sum_{r=0}^{[(\nu-1)/2]} \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{r+s} {\nu \choose 2r+1}$$

$$\times {r \choose s} (\cos \varphi)^{\nu-1-2r+2s}.$$

Substituting them into (A.1), we obtain

$$I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{3}) = \frac{\sqrt{\xi_{1}^{j}}\xi_{2}^{k}\xi_{3}^{\ell}}{k!\ell!} \sum_{\nu=-k}^{k} (-1)^{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} j & k & \ell \\ 0 & \nu & -\nu \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times \frac{\sqrt{(k-\nu)!(k+\nu)!(\ell-\nu)!(\ell+\nu)!}}{4^{|\nu|}} P_{k-|\nu|}^{|\nu|,|\nu|}(\cos\theta_{12})$$

$$\times P_{\ell-|\nu|}^{|\nu|,|\nu|}(\cos\theta_{13}) \sum_{r=0}^{[|\nu|/2]} \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{r+s} \begin{pmatrix} |\nu| \\ 2r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times (\cos\theta_{23} - \cos\theta_{12}\cos\theta_{13})^{|\nu|-2r+2s}$$

$$\times \left[(1-\cos^{2}\theta_{12}) (1-\cos^{2}\theta_{13}) \right]^{r-s}, \tag{A.2}$$

for even $j + k + \ell$, and

$$I_{j,k,\ell}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{3}) = -i\zeta \frac{\sqrt{\xi_{1}^{j-1}\xi_{2}^{k-1}\xi_{3}^{\ell-1}}}{!\ell!} \sum_{\nu=-k}^{k} (-1)^{\nu} sign(\nu)$$

$$\times \begin{pmatrix} j & k & \ell \\ 0 & \nu & -\nu \end{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{(k-\nu)!(k+\nu)!(\ell-\nu)!(\ell+\nu)!}}{4^{|\nu|}}$$

$$\times P_{k-|\nu|}^{|\nu|,|\nu|}(\cos\theta_{12}) P_{\ell-|\nu|}^{|\nu|,|\nu|}(\cos\theta_{13})$$

$$\times \sum_{r=0}^{[(|\nu|-1)/2]} \sum_{s=0}^{r} (-1)^{r+s} \begin{pmatrix} |\nu| \\ 2r+1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\times (\cos\theta_{23} - \cos\theta_{12}\cos\theta_{13})^{|\nu|-1-2r+2s}$$

$$\times \left[(1-\cos^{2}\theta_{12}) \left(1-\cos^{2}\theta_{13}\right) \right]^{r-s}.$$
(A.3)

for odd $j + k + \ell$.